IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1483 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.26788/2004 Dated 14/02/2007
.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

K.K. PANKAJAKSHI,
W/O.LATE SUBRAMANIAN,
AGED 52 YEARS,
PART-TIME SWEEPER,
VAZHAKULAM GRAMA PANCHAYAT.

BY ADV. SMT.P.V.ASHA

RESPONDENT/1ST RESPONDENT:

SRI.P.K.JOSE
(FATHER'S NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.MEERA

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.M.JOSEPH, J.

Cont.Case (C) No.1483 of 2007

Dated, this the 10th day of December, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

Alleging that the respondent has disobeyed the orders and directions issued by this Court in W.P.(C) No.26788 of 2004 dated 14th February, 2007, petitioner in the writ petition has filed the present contempt petition with a request to initiate appropriate proceedings against the respondent for his so-called wilful and deliberate disobedience of the orders and directions issued by this Court.

2. This Court by its order dated 14th February, 2007 had directed the respondent to examine the case of the petitioner in the light of the Government Order regarding regularisation of part time sweepers within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Court's order.

3. After the disposal of the writ petition, the respondent has passed order dated 21.8.2007 and in that they have rejected the request of the petitioner for regularisation of her service as part time sweeper.

4. In our opinion, if for any reason, the petitioner is aggrieved by the aforesaid order passed by the State Government, necessarily, she has to question the same before appropriate forum. Since the respondent has complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court by passing an order, we need not have to take cognizance of this contempt petition.

5. Accordingly the proceedings are dropped. However, liberty is

reserved to the petitioner/complainant, if she so desires, to file appropriate. petition before this Court questioning the correctness or otherwise of the order passed by the State Government dated 21.8.2007.

Ordered accordingly.

(**H.L.DATTU)**
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.M.JOSEPH)
JUDGE

vns